1	MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney JUSTIN J. GILIO ROBERT L. VENEMAN-HUGHES Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000		
2			
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	United States of America		
8			
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:23-CR-00099-BLW-BAM	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE	
13	v.	TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; AND ORDER	
14	JUAN CARLOS URIAS-TORRES,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
18	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
19	1. Defendant is set for a status conference on February 26, 2025 , in front of the Honorabl		
20	Barbara A. McAuliffe, U.S. Magistrate Court Judge. The parties wish to continue the status		
21	conference to July 23, 2025.		
22	2. This case is trailing behind the defendant's other case, U.S. v. Leiva-Leiva, 1:22-cr-		
23	00232, also being set for that date.		
24	3. That case, in which defendant is charged with RICO Conspiracy and VICAR Murder, is		
25	more serious than the instant case and the resolution of the lead case will materially impact the		
26	resolution of this case.		
27	4. The defendant agrees to exclude time through July 23, 2025, inclusive.		
28	5. The parties agree and stipulate, a	and request that the Court find the following:	

- a) The discovery associated with this case includes investigative reports, photographs and videos, as well as cellular phone extractions. All this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or produced to a court-appointed discovery coordinator, and/or made available for inspection and copying.
- b) Counsel for defendant desire additional time to consult with her client, conduct investigation, review the voluminous discovery, prepare for a possible trial, and explore a potential resolution of the case.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 26, 2025 to July 23, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(i), B(ii), and B(iv) because the case is so unusual or so complex, due to the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. This stipulation also results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 6. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

Case 1:23-cr-00099-BLW-BAM Document 41 Filed 02/20/25 Page 3 of 3

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
2			
3			
4	Dated: February 19, 2025	MICHELE BECKWITH Acting United States Attorney	
5		/s/ Dobort I. Vonomon Hughas	
6		/s/ Robert L. Veneman-Hughes ROBERT L. VENEMAN-HUGHES Assistant United States Attorney	
7		Assistant Office States Attorney	
8	Dated: February 19, 2025	/s/ Adilene Flores Estrada ADILENE FLORES ESTRADA	
9		Counsel for Defendant	
10		Juan Carlos Urias-Torres	
11			
12	<u>ORDER</u>		
13	The Stipulation to continue the status conference is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN		
14 15	PART. IT IS SO ORDERED that the status conference is continued from February 26, 2025 to March		
16	31, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 5 before District Judge B. Lynn Winmill. Pursuant to the		
17	Stipulation, time is excluded from February 26, 2025 to July 23, 2025 pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§		
18	3161(h)(7)(A), B(i), B(ii), and B(iv).		
19			
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
21	Datada Fahmuany 20 2025	/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe	
22	Dated: February 20, 2025 U	NITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			